**HONOURS SCHOLARSHIP 2025 – ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION CRITERIA**

***Scoring System***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Score** | **Description** | **Additional Guidance on Strengths/Weaknesses** |
| 5 | Outstanding | Strong with negligible weaknesses |
| 4 | Very Good | Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses |
| 3 | Satisfactory | Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses |
| 3 | Marginal | A few strengths and a few major weaknesses |
| 1 | Poor | Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses |

Reviewers are asked to consider each application and give a separate score for each criterion (using 1 to 5 scoring range).

This scoring system uses a 5-point scale for each scoring criteria:

* A score of 5 indicates a strong application with essentially no weaknesses
* A score of 1 indicates an application with serious and substantive weaknesses with very few strengths
* A score of 3 is considered an average application for the career stage and research area
* Ratings are in whole numbers only (no decimal ratings).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Evaluation Panel member: | |
| **Project Title**: | |
| *Proposal evaluation* | Score (1-5) |
| 1. Rate the scientific merit and feasibility of the proposed project using the scientific details and references provided |  |
|  |  |
| 1. Will the project contribute knowledge about type 1 diabetes? |  |
| *Comments eg: what will the project bring to the field?* |  |
|  |  |
| 1. Will this project support a continuing career in type 1 diabetes research? |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| **TOTAL** | / |
| *Other* |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Please provide up to 3 points of feedback that will be provided to the applicant**

**1)**

**2)**

**3)**