



TOP-UP PHD SCHOLARSHIP ROUND 3/2024 ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

Scoring System

Score	Description	Additional Guidance on Strengths/Weaknesses
5	Outstanding	Strong with negligible weaknesses
4	Very Good	Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses
3	Satisfactory	Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses
2	Marginal	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses
1	Poor	Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses

Reviewers are asked to consider each application and give a separate score for each criterion (using 1 to 5 scoring range).

This scoring system uses a 5-point scale for each scoring criteria:

- A score of 5 indicates a strong application with essentially no weaknesses
- A score of 1 indicates an application with serious and substantive weaknesses with very few strengths
- A score of 3 is considered an average application for the career stage and research area
- Ratings are in whole numbers only (no decimal ratings).

RIO TINTO CHILDREN'S DIABETES CENTRE

A J D R F G l o b a l C e n t r e o f E x c e l l e n c e



Evaluation Panel member:	
Project:	
Proposal evaluation	Score (1-5)
1. Rate the scientific merit and feasibility of the proposed project using the scientific details and references provided (50% of the assessment criteria)	
2. Does the project align with one of the Global CoE's objectives (20% of the assessment criteria)	
3. Will the project contribute knowledge about type 1 diabetes? (20% of the assessment criteria)	
<i>Comments eg: what will the project bring to the field?</i>	
4. Rate the track record of the applicant (publications and presentations) (5% of the assessment criteria)	
5. Rate the translational impact of the PhD project (5% of the assessment criteria)	
TOTAL	/
<i>Other</i>	

Please provide up to 3 points of feedback that will be provided to the applicant

- 1)
- 2)
- 3)